

Michael O. Leavitt Governor Kathleen Clarke Executive Director Lowell P. Braxton Division Director 1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210 PO Box 145801 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801 801-538-5340 801-359-3940 (Fax) 801-538-7223 (TDD)

June 22, 2001

TO:

Minerals File

FROM:

Doug Jensen, Senior Reclamation Specialist

RE:

Site Inspection, Wembco, Inc., Larry Allred Site, S/047/068, Uintah County, Utah

Date of Inspection:

June 14, 2001

Time of Inspection:

1500 - 1545

Conditions:

Clear and hot

Participants:

Doug Jensen, Lynn Kunzler, DOGM

Purpose of Inspection:

Check site activity since last inspection.

This site is located approximately 4 miles north of Maeser, northwest of highway 121. The site is located approximately 0.6 miles off the highway and behind a large gravel operation.

There is presently an active mining operation taking place at the site. Asphalt is being harvested from the pit on the site. The pit and dumps within the site encompass approximately 3 to 4 acres. Topsoil is being placed in an area separate from the overburden piles. There has been no apparent effort to protect this stockpile area by seeding or signage. There were several pieces of equipment at the site and the pit shows signs of recent activity.

An area above the pit has been cleared and material is being stockpiled here as it is being mined. Also located in this stockpile area is a piece of equipment that is used to heat the material from the pit to produce road grade asphalt. Also located near this area is a large metal building and a stockpile (30 to 40 pallets) of asphalt patching material which has been processed and put into plastic bags. It appears that the material on pallets has been on site for at least a year or more. The equipment used to bag this material appears to be housed in the large metal building, (no access of the building was made during this visit). It should be noted that if all the disturbances associated with the building, stockpile area and the pit are considered, this permit area is in excess of the five acres allowed under a small mine permit and the permit holder should be advised to apply for a large mine permit.

Because the permittee was not on site at the time of the visit, we were unable to ascertain how much of the disturbance and site were the responsibility of the present permit holder. Until this can be learned, we felt that to GPS the site without this information would probably be a waste of time. The operator will be contacted to discuss activity at the site.

Photos were taken of the site.